

Computational and Applied Mathematics

Solve every problem.

Problem 1.

(a) Show that

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x), \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

is a polynomial of degree n with extrema at

$$x_k = \cos\left(k \frac{\pi}{n}\right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

and leading coefficient 2^{n-1} .

(b) Show that if $f \in C^{n+1}[-1, 1]$ and if $P(x)$ is the polynomial with degree at most n that interpolates f at $x_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ then

$$\|f(x) - P(x)\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}(n+1)!} \|f^{n+1}\|_\infty.$$

Solution:

(a)

$$\cos(n \arccos(\cos(k\pi/n))) = \cos(k\pi) = (-1)^k \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

To show the degree of T_n , we use induction. $T_0(x) = 1$ and $T_1(x) = x$.

Induction hypothesis: T_k is a polynomial of degree k and leading coefficient 2^{k-1} for $k \leq n$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1}(x) + T_{n-1}(x) &= \cos((n+1) \arccos x) + ((n-1) \arccos x) \\ &= 2x \cos(n \arccos x) \\ &= 2xT_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose T_n is of degree n and with leading coefficient 2^{n-1} . From the above calculation,

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x),$$

which shows that T_{n+1} is of degree $n+1$ and has leading coefficient 2^n . This completes the proof to part (a).

(b) Essentially, this boils down to proving the following,

$$\max_{[-1,1]} |(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \cdots (x-x_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

To show this, we define a new Chebyshev-like polynomial. Define

$$Q_n(x) := \sin(n \arccos x) \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Claim: Q_n is of degree $n+1$ with leading coefficient -2^{n-1} .

We prove this claim by induction.

$Q_1(x) = 1 - x^2$ and $Q_2(x) = 2x \sin(\arccos x) \sqrt{1-x^2} = 2x(1-x^2)$. This satisfies the claim and serves as the

base case.

Induction hypothesis: Q_k is a polynomial of degree $k + 1$ and leading coefficient -2^{k-1} for $k \leq n$.

Note,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+1}(x) - Q_{n-1}(x) &= [\sin((n+1)\arccos x) - \sin((n-1)\arccos x)]\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ &= 2\cos(n\arccos x)\sin(\arccos x)\sqrt{1-x^2} \\ &= 2(1-x^2)T_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Q_{n+1}(x) = 2(1-x^2)T_n(x) + Q_{n-1}(x).$$

Using part (a) and the induction hypothesis, Q_{n+1} is a polynomial of degree $n + 2$ and leading coefficient -2^n . This completes the proof to claim.

Also note that x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n are the roots of Q_n . As a result,

$$Q_n = -2^{n-1}(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_n).$$

Since $\max_{[-1,1]} Q_n = 1$, the result follows.

Problem 2. Let $S(x)$ be a cubic spline with knots $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$. If it is determined that $S(x)$ is linear over $[t_1, t_2]$ and $[t_3, t_4]$. Prove that $S(x)$ is also linear over $[t_2, t_3]$.

Solution: First define $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \frac{S''(t_3)(x-t_2)^3}{6(t_3-t_2)} + \frac{S''(t_2)(t_3-x)^3}{6(t_3-t_2)} + \left[\frac{S(t_3)}{t_3-t_2} - \frac{S''(t_3)(t_3-t_2)}{6} \right] (x-t_2) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{S(t_2)}{t_3-t_2} - \frac{S''(t_2)(t_3-t_2)}{6} \right] (t_3-x). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $p = S$ in $[t_2, t_3]$. Since $\deg(p) = \deg(S) = 3$, we will be done if we show p and S match at four distinct constraints. Observe

$$p(t_2) = 0 + \frac{S''(t_2)(t_3-t_2)^2}{6} + 0 + \left[\frac{S(t_2)}{t_3-t_2} - \frac{S''(t_2)(t_3-t_2)}{6} \right] (t_3-t_2) = S(t_2).$$

In a similar fashion, we also have

$$p(t_3) = S(t_3).$$

Moreover,

$$p''(x) = S''(t_3)\frac{x-t_2}{t_3-t_2} + S''(t_2)\frac{x-t_3}{t_2-t_3},$$

which is the Lagrange interpolating polynomial between $S''(t_2)$ and $S''(t_3)$, i.e.,

$$p''(t_i) = S''(t_i),$$

for $i = 2, 3$. This shows four degrees of freedom for which p matches S , and so we conclude $p = S$ in $[t_2, t_3]$.

We use the fact $p = S$ to show S is linear over $[t_2, t_3]$. Because S is linear over $[t_1, t_2]$ and $[t_3, t_4]$, we have $S''(t_2) = S''(t_3) = 0$. This implies for $[t_2, t_3]$,

$$S(x) = p(x) = 0 + 0 + \left[\frac{S(t_3)}{t_3-t_2} - 0 \right] (x-t_2) + \left[\frac{S(t_2)}{t_3-t_2} - 0 \right] (t_3-x) = S(t_3)\frac{x-t_2}{x_3-x_2} + S(t_2)\frac{x-t_3}{x_2-x_3},$$

i.e., $\deg(S) = 1$. Thus S is linear over $[t_2, t_3]$.

Problem 3. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = 2x - \cos x$.

(a) Prove that the equation $f(x) = 0$ has a unique solution $x^* \in \mathbb{R}$ that lies in the interval $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$.

(b) Prove that the sequence defined by the fixed point iteration

$$x_0, \\ x_n = \frac{1}{2} \cos x_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

converges to x^* with any initial guess x_0 .

(c) For the fixed point iteration in (b) with $x_0 = \frac{\pi}{6}$, determine an n that guarantees $|x_n - x^*| < \frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-8}$.
For the fixed point iteration in (b) with $x_0 = 20$, determine an n that guarantees $|x_n - x^*| < \frac{1}{4}$.

Solution:

(a) $f(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{2} - \cos \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{2} - \cos \frac{\pi}{4} < 0$. Also, $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 1 - \cos \frac{1}{2} > 0$. Therefore, by the Intermediate Value Theorem, there exists a root in the said interval. However, since $f' = 2 + \sin x$, the function is strictly increasing and the root is unique.

(b) Set $\phi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \cos x$. The iteration scheme is $x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1} - x^*| &= |\phi(x_n) - x^*| \\ &= |\phi(x_n) - \phi(x^*)| \\ &= |\phi'(\xi)| \cdot |x_n - x^*| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |x_n - x^*|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|x_n - x^*| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} |x_0 - x^*|,$$

which converges.

(c) For $x_0 = \frac{\pi}{6}$, using part (b), a necessary condition to ensure the required bound is,

$$\frac{|\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{1}{4}|}{2^n} < \frac{10^{-8}}{2},$$

which is,

$$n > 1 + \log_2 \left[10^8 \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right] \approx 25.71.$$

Hence, $n = 26$ would suffice. For $x_0 = 20$,

$$\frac{20 - \frac{1}{4}}{2^n} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

So,

$$n > 2 + \log_2 19.75 > 6.$$

Hence, $n = 7$ would suffice.

Problem 4. Let matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$ and $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) < n$, and assume \mathbf{A} has the following SVD decomposition

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2]^T = \mathbf{U}_1 \Sigma_1 \mathbf{V}_1^T,$$

where Σ_1 is $r \times r$ nonsingular and \mathbf{U}_1 and \mathbf{V}_1 have r columns. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{\min}(\Sigma_1)$, the smallest nonzero singular value of \mathbf{A} . Consider the following least square problem, for some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^m$,

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n} \|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2.$$

(a) Show that all solutions \mathbf{x} can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{V}_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{V}_2 \mathbf{z}_2,$$

with \mathbf{z}_2 an arbitrary vector.

(b) Show that the solution \mathbf{x} has minimal norm $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ precisely when $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, and in which case,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\sigma}.$$

Solution:

(a) Set $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2]$, $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2]^T$, then the *SVD* decomposition of \mathbf{A} is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T$, where $\mathbf{U}_{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{V}_{n \times n}$ are orthogonal matrices such that

- $\mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$
- \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are l_2 -norm preserving.

As a consequence

$$\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b}\|_2 = \|\Sigma \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b}\|_2.$$

Let $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2)^T$ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)^T$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2.$$

The l_2 -norm is minimized when the vector \mathbf{z} is chosen with $\mathbf{z}_1 = \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1$, \mathbf{z}_2 arbitrary. Then

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{V} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2) \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V}_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{V}_2 \mathbf{z}_2.$$

(b) Let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{V}_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b}$ (i.e., $\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{0}$), so $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{V}^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, implies $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, then $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{V} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\|_2 = \|\Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1\|_2$.

For any solution \mathbf{x} , we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{V} \mathbf{z}\|_2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 \geq \|\Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1\|_2 = \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_2.$$

Finally,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{V}_1 \Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b}\|_2 = \|\Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T \mathbf{b}\|_2 \leq \|\Sigma_1^{-1} \mathbf{U}_1^T\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 = \|\Sigma_1^{-1}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 = \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\sigma}.$$

Problem 5. Consider the family of semi-implicit Runge-Kutta methods

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= f(y_n + \beta h k_1), & k_2 &= f(y_n + h k_1 + \beta h k_2), \\ y_{n+1} &= y_n + h \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta \right) k_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) k_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(a) Determine the order and the principal part of the local truncation error.

(b) Show that if $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, then the negative real axis $\{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0, \operatorname{Im}(z) = 0\}$ is contained in the region of absolute stability of the method.

Solution:

(a) Apply this method to the problem $f(y) = \lambda y$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \lambda y_n + \beta \lambda h k_1 \implies (1 - \beta \lambda h) k_1 = \lambda y_n \\ &\implies k_1 = (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-1} \lambda y_n \\ k_2 &= \lambda y_n + \lambda h k_1 + \beta \lambda h k_2 \implies (1 - \beta \lambda h) k_2 = \lambda y_n + (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-1} \lambda^2 h y_n \\ &\implies k_2 = (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-1} \lambda y_n + (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-2} \lambda^2 h y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then the method can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= y_n + (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-1} \lambda h y_n + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) (1 - \beta \lambda h)^{-2} \lambda^2 h^2 y_n \\ &= \left(1 + (1 - \beta z)^{-1} z + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) (1 - \beta z)^{-2} z^2\right) y_n \quad (z := \lambda h) \\ &= \left(1 + z \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i z^i + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) z^2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i z^i\right)^2\right) y_n \quad (|z\beta| < 1) \\ &= \left(1 + z \left(1 + \beta z + \beta^2 z^2 + \beta^3 z^3 + O(z^4)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) z^2 \left(1 + \beta z + \beta^2 z^2 + O(z^3)\right)^2\right) y_n \\ &= \left(1 + z + \frac{1}{2} z^2 + (\beta - \beta^2) z^3 + \left(\frac{3}{2} \beta^2 - 2\beta^3\right) z^4 + O(h^5)\right) y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $y_n = y(x_n)$, the exact solution $y(x_{n+1}) = e^z y_n$ can be written as

$$y(x_{n+1}) = \left(1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{1}{24} z^4 + O(h^5)\right) y_n.$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^3 , we conclude that

- If $\beta - \beta^2 \neq \frac{1}{6}$, i.e. $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, then the method is second order, and $\tau_n \sim (\beta - \beta^2 - \frac{1}{6}) h^3$.
- If $\beta - \beta^2 = \frac{1}{6}$, i.e. $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$, then $\frac{3}{2} \beta^2 - 2\beta^3 \neq \frac{1}{24}$, the method is third order, and $\tau_n \sim (\frac{3}{2} \beta^2 - 2\beta^3 - \frac{1}{24}) h^4$.

(b) It suffices to show if $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $z < 0$, then

$$-1 < 1 + \frac{z}{1 - \beta z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \left(\frac{z}{1 - \beta z}\right)^2 < 1.$$

First note $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $z < 0$ imply $\frac{z}{1 - \beta z} < 0$, and $(\frac{1}{2} - \beta) \left(\frac{z}{1 - \beta z}\right)^2 < 0$, hence

$$1 + \frac{z}{1 - \beta z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \left(\frac{z}{1 - \beta z}\right)^2 < 1.$$

Now it remains to show

$$-1 < 1 + \frac{z}{1 - \beta z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \left(\frac{z}{1 - \beta z}\right)^2.$$

Observing that $-\frac{1}{\beta} \leq \frac{z}{1 - \beta z}$ (since $\beta z - 1 \leq \beta z$), we only need to verify

$$-1 < 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) \left(-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^2.$$

For $\beta \neq 0$, this is equivalent to

$$0 < (2\beta - 1)^2,$$

which certainly holds for $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$.

Problem 6. Consider the Beam equation from mechanics with boundary conditions that model a cantilever beam:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(4)} &= f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) &= u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- (a) Recast this equation into a variational problem, stating the trial and test function spaces.
- (b) Interpret the variational problem as an energy minimization problem, clearly stating the energy functional. Prove that the variational problem and the energy minimization problems are equivalent.
- (c) Develop a CG(3) (cubic continuous Galerkin method) finite element method for this problem.
- (d) Prove an *a priori* error estimate for this method in the energy norm:

$$\|e\|_E = \left(\int_0^1 (e'')^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Where $e = u(x) - U(x)$, in which, $u(x)$ is the exact solution to VP (variational problem), $U(x)$ is the FEM (finite element method) solution.

- (e) Prove an *a priori* error estimate for this method in the L_2 norm:

$$\|e\|_{L_2} =: \|e\| = \left(\int_0^1 e^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Solution:

- (a) Multiply both sides of $u^{(4)} = f(x)$ with test function v and integrate on $[0, 1]$ to get

$$\int_0^1 u^{(4)} v dx = \int_0^1 f(x) v dx,$$

integration by parts twice yields

$$u'''v \Big|_0^1 - u''v' \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u''v'' dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v dx.$$

Assume $v(0) = 0$, $v'(0) = 0$ so that $u'''v \Big|_0^1 - u''v' \Big|_0^1 = 0$, then

$$\int_0^1 u''v'' dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v dx.$$

Define

$$V = \{w : \int_0^1 w^2 + (w')^2 + (w'')^2 dx < \infty, \quad w(0) = w'(0) = 0\},$$

then the Variational Problem(VP) is:

Find $u \in V$, such that

$$\int_0^1 u''v'' dx = \int_0^1 f(x)v dx, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (2)$$

- (b) Define the total energy $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (w'')^2 dx - \int_0^1 f(x)w dx,$$

then the energy minimization problem(MP) is:

Find $u \in V$ such that

$$F(u) \leq F(w), \quad \forall w \in V. \quad (3)$$

We can prove the equivalence of VP and MP:

(VP \Rightarrow MP) Assume $u \in V$ such that $\int_0^1 u'' v'' dx = \int_0^1 f(x) v dx$ for all $v \in V$. Let $w = u + v \in V$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u'' + v'')^2 dx - \int_0^1 f(x)(u + v) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u'')^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v'')^2 dx + \int_0^1 u'' v'' dx - \int_0^1 f(x) u dx - \int_0^1 f(x) v dx \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u'')^2 dx - \int_0^1 f(x) u dx \right) + \left(\int_0^1 u'' v'' dx - \int_0^1 f(x) u dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v'')^2 dx \\
&= F(u) + 0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v'')^2 dx \\
&\geq F(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by the definition of total energy and the fact that u is solution to the VP. Which implies solution to VP is also solution to MP.

(VP \Leftarrow MP) Assume $u \in V$ such that $F(u) \leq F(w)$ for all $w \in V$. Let $g(\epsilon) = F(u + \epsilon v)$, here $v \in V$ is arbitrary but fixed, then $g'(0) = 0$.

Note that

$$g'(\epsilon) = \int_0^1 (u'' + \epsilon v'') v'' dx - \int_0^1 f v dx,$$

substitute $\epsilon = 0$ and use the fact that $g'(0) = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 u'' v'' dx = \int_0^1 f v dx, \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Which implies solution to MP is solution to VP.

- (c) (i) Partition: Let $\tau_h: 0 = x_0 < \dots < x_M < x_{M+1} = 1$ be a partition of $[0, 1]$, let $h_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, M+1$ be the size of j -th mesh $I_j = [x_{j-1}, x_j]$, define $h := \max_{1 \leq j \leq M+1} h_j$.
(ii) Finite element space: Let $V_h^3 \subseteq V$ be our finite element space defined as

$$V_h^3 := \{u \in C^1(0, 1) \mid u|_{I_j} \text{ is cubic polynomial for all } j = 1, \dots, M+1, \text{ and } u(0) = u'(0) = 0\}. \quad (4)$$

- (iii) CG(3) Finite Element Method: Find $U(x)$ in V_h^3 such that

$$\int_0^1 U'' v'' dx = \int_0^1 f v dx, \quad \forall v \in V_h^3.$$

- (d) Let $u(x) \in V$ be the exact solution to VP (variational problem), $U(x) \in V_h^3$ be the FEM solution, we estimate the error $e = u - U$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_E^2 &= \int_0^1 (e'')^2 dx \\
&= \int_0^1 e''(u - v + v - U)'' dx \quad (v \in V_h^3) \\
&= \int_0^1 e''(u - v)'' dx + \int_0^1 e''(v - U)'' dx \quad (\text{by Galerkin orthogonality}) \\
&= \int_0^1 e''(u - v)'' dx \quad (\text{by Cauchy inequality}) \\
&\leq \|u - U\|_E \cdot \|u - v\|_E.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|e\|_E \leq \|u - v\|$ for all $v \in V_h^3$, take $v = \pi_h u$ as interpolation of u , then

$$\|e\|_E \leq Ch^2 \|u^{(4)}\|,$$

where C comes from interpolation error.

(e) Consider the following dual problem

$$\phi^{(4)} = e, \quad \phi(0) = \phi'(0) = \phi''(1) = \phi'''(1) = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|^2 &= \int_0^1 e \phi^{(4)} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 e'' \phi'' dx + e \phi''' \Big|_0^1 - e' \phi'' \Big|_0^1 \quad (\text{by integration by parts twice}) \\ &= \int_0^1 e'' \phi'' dx \quad (\text{subtract } \int_0^1 e'' (\pi_h \phi)'' dx = 0) \\ &= \int_0^1 e'' (\phi - \pi_h \phi)'' dx \\ &\leq \|e\|_E \cdot \|\phi - \pi_h \phi\|_E \quad (\text{by the interpolation error and energy norm estimate}) \\ &\leq C^2 h^4 \|u^{(4)}\| \cdot \|\phi^{(4)}\| \quad (\text{since } \|\phi^{(4)}\| = \|e\|) \\ &= C^2 h^4 \|u^{(4)}\| \cdot \|e\|, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\|e\| \leq C^2 h^4 \|u^{(4)}\|$.